

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,545	03/09/2001	Stanislaus Pietrucha JR.	2008-00100	7312
23505	7590 04/07/2006	·	EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267			MCALLISTER, STEVEN B	
HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3627	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/803,545	PIETRUCHA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven B. McAllister	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wa - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the closed in accordance with the practice of the communication (s) filed on 30 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression (s) filed on 30 Ja 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 179-210 and 212-220 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 179-210 and 212-220 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the content drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11 or other than 12 or other 12 or other 13 or other 14 or other 14 or other 14 or other 15 or other	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 179-210 and 212 -220 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinley (2001/0044743) in view of LetsTalk.com.

As to claim 179 and 198, Mckinley shows maintaining a database comprising product or service offerings, wherein each of the product or service offerings is offered in and associated with one or more geographic markets, and wherein each of the markets associated with the product or service offering is definable in said database independently and with differing levels of geographic detail (it is noted that it is not claimed that the markets are defined independently or that they are defined in the database with different levels of detail – merely that they are definable in such a way; different markets for different products are inherently definable independently and are capable of being specified with different levels of detail, since they can be described in any manner with any level of detail); generating a summary of one or more product or service offerings available from one or more providers; receiving a geographic location via the area code and phone exchange; determining product or service offerings maintained in the database that correspond to the market associated with the geographic location provided by the user; generating a summary of product or service offerings available associated with the market that corresponds to the geographic

Application/Control Number: 09/803,545

Art Unit: 3627

location; and transmitting the summary. McKinley does not show that offerings in a plurality of categories are maintained; presenting the user with a plurality of offering categories; receiving a selection of a category from a plurality of categories; determining offerings available in the at least one selected category; generating and sending to the user a summary of offerings in the at least one selected category. LetsTalk shows maintaining offerings in a plurality of categories (e.g., wireless service plans, pagers, and wireless phones); presenting the user with the offering categories; and receiving a selection of a category; determining the offerings in the categories; generating and transmitting the offerings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of McKinley as taught by LetsTalk in order to allow the user more choices, and to provide for greater revenue by selling a greater variety of related services and products.

It is noted that cell service plans, pagers and their associated service, are associated with geographic market areas.

As to claims 180-183, 186-189, 193-197, 199-202, 205-207, 210, 211, 212-220, it is noted that all elements are shown.

As to claims 184, 185, 203 and 204, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows all elements of the claim except that the user can manage a user service account to perform at least pay for the service. However, the examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously old and well known in the art to allow a user to manage his account enabling

Application/Control Number: 09/803,545

Art Unit: 3627

him to pay for the service. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the method of McKinley by allowing a user to manage his account, enabling him to pay for the service in order to increase customer satisfaction through added convenience, and to further facilitate getting paid for the service.

As to claim 190, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows storing and manipulating information regarding plans, packages, and features, wherein the plans are the base offerings, the package is a collection of features purchasable together, and the feature indicates an augmentation to the base plan that is purchasable individually (e.g., a cell phone plan; a cell phone plan package having the plan and a leather case for a phone; and features comprising accessories which are augmentations to the plans that are purchasable individually.)

As to claims 191 and 208, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows all elements of the claim except providing an account for providing customization elements. However, the examiner takes official notice that to do so is notoriously old and well known in the art (e.g., as in Tobin). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so in order to increase traffic to the site via additional portals to the customized site.

As to claims 192 and 209, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows all elements except providing an account for tracking usage. However, the examiner takes official notice that to do so is notoriously old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the method of McKinley by providing an account for tracking usage in order to provide feedback to the providers, allowing them to gauge customer desires and craft better service plans.

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the Applicants argument that the reference does not show that the markets are definable with varying degrees of detail, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is noted that the Applicant argues that it is not show that the markets are actually defined, stored and accessed, with varying degrees of detail. However, the examiner believes that the argued subject matter is not claimed. A market may be definable in a plurality of levels of detail, yet only be defined in a single level of detail in a system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (571) 272-6785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven B. McAllister Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627 Page 6

Steven B. McAllister

STEVE B. MCALLISTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER